Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111 Attorney Docket No.: 062622

Application No.: 10/583,990

Art Unit: 1794

REMARKS

Claims 1-11 are pending. Claim 1 is amended herein. Support for the amendments is at

least found at paragraph [0027] of the specification and as detailed below.

Applicants' Response to the Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Saiki (US 2002/0075428) in view of Chen (US 2004/0167260) and Deyrup

(US 3,318,856).

In response thereto, applicants respectfully submit that the present invention as now

claimed is not obvious in light of the combination of references for at least the reason that the

combination does not provide for all the claimed features, nor is there any reason whereby a

skilled artisan could derive the present invention.

Specifically, the combination of Saiki, Chen and Deyrup at least fails to provide for the

feature of parent claim 1 that the crosslinking agent is mixed in the range of more than 30 parts

by weight and not more than 46 parts by weight relative to 100 parts by weight of the polyvinyl

alcohol-based resin.

In the present invention, use of the crosslinking agent in the above-mentioned mixing rate

range improves the Water Resistance and pH values of 2.2 to 4.3 gives a satisfactory Pot Life.

In regard to the disclosures of Saiki, the reference describes the mixing rate of the

crosslinking agent only in the Examples section which requires a rate outside of the claimed

- 5 -

Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111

Attorney Docket No.: 062622

range. Chen describes a polyvinyl alcohol-based resin, but does not describe incorporation of an

acetoacetyl group. Deyrup describes a polyvinyl alcohol but does not describe incorporation of

an acetoacetyl group.

Application No.: 10/583,990

Art Unit: 1794

Further, there is no reason whereby a skilled artisan could derive the present invention

based on the combined teachings of Saiki, Chen and Deyrup. While Saiki is directed to a plate

adhesive, Chen relates to a binder for glass fiber and Deyrup calls for the gelation of polyvinyl

alcohol. There is no manner whereby a skilled artisan would derive from these three distinct

teachings all the aspects of the claimed invention.

Wherefore, applicants respectfully submit that the present invention as now claimed is

not obvious in light of the combined disclosures of Saiki, Chen and Deyrup.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Saiki (US

2002/0075428) in view of Chen (US 2004/0167260) as applied to claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8-11

above, and further in view of Sugino (US 2003/01 37732).

Applicants respectfully submit that by addressing the rejection of parent claim 1 as

detailed above, likewise the rejection of claim 7 should be considered addressed by nature of its

dependency.

- 6 -

Application No.: 10/583,990 Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111
Art Unit: 1794 Attorney Docket No.: 062622

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants submit that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Michael J. Caridi Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 56,171

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

MJC/ttw